# FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FOR THE SANTA ROSA RANCHERIA COMMERCIAL CENTER AND TRIBAL MUSEUM FEE-TO-TRUST PROJECT

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA)

**ACTIONS:** Finding of No Significant Impact

#### **SUMMARY:**

The Santa Rosa Rancheria (Tribe) requested the transfer of two parcels (APNs 024-160-023 and 024-160-024) totaling approximately 37 acres (project site) into federal trust on behalf of the Tribe to be used for the development of commercial center and a Tribal museum (Proposed Action). The project site is located in unincorporated Kings County, near the City of Lemoore and adjacent to the Santa Rosa Rancheria (see Environmental Assessment (EA) Figures 1, 2, and 3). Based upon the entire administrative record including analysis in a July 2023 Environmental Assessment (EA), mitigation imposed, and consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO); the Pacific Region, Division of Environmental, Cultural Resources Management and Safety issues this finding of no significant impact (FONSI) for the Proposed Action. This finding constitutes a determination the Proposed Action is not a Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. Therefore, in accordance with Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C § 4321 et seq., an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required.

# **PURPOSE AND NEED:**

The purpose of the Proposed Action is to facilitate Tribal self-sufficiency, self-determination, and to diversify the Tribe's revenue base. Additional details regarding the purpose and need can be found in EA Section 1.2.

# **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:**

Two alternatives are analyzed in the EA: the Proposed Action and a No Action Alternative. The Proposed Action is summarized above and includes the fee-to-trust transfer of two parcels of land totaling approximately 37 acres. The Tribe subsequently proposes to develop the land with an approximately 15,000sf single-story commercial center and an approximately 10,000sf cultural museum. Under the No Action Alternative, no federal actions would occur, and the Tribe would not construct the commercial center or museum. Additional details regarding the Proposed Action and No Action Alternative can be found in EA Section 2.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

Potential impacts to land resources, water resources; air quality; biological resources; cultural resources; socioeconomics; transportation; land use and agriculture; public services; noise; hazardous materials; and visual resources were evaluated in the EA, with the following conclusions

for the Proposed Action<sup>1</sup> (see EA Section 3 for detailed analysis and for specific mitigation measures):

#### **Land Resources**

Land resources impacts could occur during the construction and operation of the project. No significant impacts would occur.

# **Water Resources**

Impacts to water resources would occur during construction and operation of the project. No significant impacts would occur.

## **Air Quality**

Impacts to air quality would occur during construction and operation of the project. No significant impacts would occur.

# **Biological Resources**

Impacts to biological resources would occur from the development of the project. Mitigation measures detailed in EA Section 4 would ensure impacts to biological resources are less than significant.

#### **Cultural Resources**

Impacts to cultural resources could occur from the development of the project. Mitigation measures detailed in EA Section 4 would ensure impacts to cultural resources are less than significant. The SHPO concurred with BIA's finding of no adverse effects to historic properties in a letter dated September 7, 2022.

# Socioeconomics

Impacts to socioeconomics would occur during the fee-to-trust transfer and during the construction/operation of the project. No significant socioeconomics impacts would occur.

#### **Transportation and Circulation**

Impacts to transportation and circulation would occur during construction and operation of the project. No significant transportation or circulation impacts would occur.

## Land Use and Agriculture

Impacts to land use and agriculture would occur during construction and operation of the project. No significant impacts would occur.

## **Public Services**

Impacts to public services would occur from the operation of the project. No significant impacts would occur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The No Action Alternative would generally not result in detrimental impacts to the environment, therefore the impacts and mitigation measures detailed here are generally not applicable (see EA for more details). As noted in the EA, the No Action Alternative would not meet the purpose and need of the action.

#### Noise

Noise and vibration impacts would occur during construction and operation of the project. No significant impacts would occur.

#### **Hazardous Materials**

Hazardous materials impacts could occur during construction and operation of the project. No significant impacts would occur.

#### **Visual Resources**

Impacts to visual resource would occur from the development of the project. No significant impact would occur.

# **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY:**

A Notice of Availability for the EA has been provided to for public review for a period of 30 days. No public comments were received.

#### **DETERMINATION:**

It has been determined that the proposed Federal action to take approximately 37 acres of fee land into federal trust for the purpose of developing a commercial center and Tribal museum does not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment. Therefore, in accordance with Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C § 4321 et seq., an Environmental Impact Statement is not required. This determination is supported by the findings described in this FONSI and the analysis contained in the entire administrative record, including the July 2023 EA, consultation with SHPO, and the mitigation imposed. This fulfills the requirements of NEPA as set out in the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing NEPA (40 C.F.R. 1500–1508), and the BIA NEPA Guidebook (59 IAM 3-H, August, 2012).

Approved:	Date:	
Regional Director		
Bureau of Indian Affairs, Pacific Region		